

REMARKS

Claims 1-29 are pending in the present application. By this Amendment, claim 7 has been amended to clarify recited subject matter without raising new issues and claim 22 has been amended to correct a typographical error. No new matter is added.

Amendment to claim 7 does not raise new issues as it is merely to clarify the recited subject matter. Support for the amendment to claim 7 is found in claims 14, 18 and 22, for example.

Entry of the amended claims is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 since the amendments: (1) place the application in condition for allowance; (2) do not raise any new issues requiring further search and/or consideration since the amendments amplify issues previously discussed throughout prosecution without incorporating additional subject matter; (3) satisfy a requirement of form asserted in the previous Office Action; and/or (4) place the application in better form for appeal if necessary. Entry is thus requested.

For the following reasons, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

I. REPLY TO REJECTIONS

A. 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

On page 4 of the Office Action, claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as allegedly indefinite. Claim 7 is amended to obviate the rejection. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

B. 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103

On pages 4 and 6 of the Office Action, claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0007304 to Kasajima (hereinafter "Kasajima"); and claims 15-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Kasajima, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,587,739 to Abrams et al. (hereinafter "Abrams"). The rejections are respectfully traversed.

Filed herewith is an English language translation of KR 2002-84612 filed on December 20, 2000, from which this application claims priority. Kasajima was filed on May 23, 2001, which is after the filing date of KR 2002-84612. Consequently, Kasajima is not prior art. Claims 1-14 are allowable.

As to claims 15-29, Abrams alone fails to disclose each and every feature of claims 15, 19 and 23, as well as claims 16-18, 20-22 and 24-29, which variously depend from claims 15, 19 and 23. Consequently, claims 15-29 are also allowable. Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

II. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **Seth S. Kim**, at the telephone number listed below.

Serial No. **10/029,240**

Docket No. **LT-0009**

Reply to Office Action of November 3, 2005

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



John C. Eisenhart
Registration No. 38,128
Seth S. Kim
Registration No. 54,577

Attachment: English Language Translation of KR 2000-84612

P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200
703 766-3701 DYK/SSK:knv

Date: MARCH 3, 2006

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610

\\fk4\Documents\2031\2031-004\79089.doc